

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/06/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/542,632	0-	4/04/2000	Bryan J. Moles	SAMS01-00102	5791
23990	7590	06/06/2003		,	
DOCKET	CLERK		EXAMINER		
P.O. DRAWER 800889 DALLAS, TX - 75380			YUN, EUGENE		
ŕ			•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2682	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/542,632	MOLES ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Eugene Yun	2682					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 December 2002</u> is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
· · ·							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:							
S. Batant and Trademark Office							

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/542,632

Art Unit: 2682

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. The request for a continued prosecution application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) filed on [1] is acknowledged. 37 CFR 1.53(d)(1) was amended to provide that the prior application of a CPA must be: (1) a utility or plant application that was filed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) before May 29, 2000, (2) a design application, or (3) the national stage of an international application that was filed under 35 U.S.C. 363 before May 29, 2000. See Changes to Application Examination and Provisional Application Practice, interim rule, 65 Fed. Reg. 14865, 14872 (Mar. 20, 2000), 1233 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 47, 52 (Apr. 11, 2000). Since a CPA of this application is not permitted under 37 CFR 1.53(d)(1), the improper request for a CPA is being treated as a request for continued examination of this application under 37 CFR 1.114. See id. at 14866, 1233 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office at 48.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 5-7, 11, and 12 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chang et al. (US 6,223,028) in view of Moles et al. (US 6,466,779).

Referring to Claim 1, Chang teaches a wireless network comprising a plurality of base stations 12a-12n (fig. 1), each of said base stations capable of communicating

Art Unit: 2682

with a plurality of mobile stations 13a-13e (fig. 1), a service provisioning system 15 (fig. 1) capable of provisioning a first one of said plurality of mobile stations comprising:

a database capable of storing a service provisioning file comprising a mobile station service provisioning program in interpreted byte-code format (see col. 4, lines 34-39 and Table 1); and

a provisioning controller 16 (fig. 1) coupled to said database capable of receiving a notification indicating that first mobile station is unprovisioned and further capable (see col. 2, lines 61-64), in response to receipt of said notification, of retrieving said service provisioning file from said database and transmitting said service provisioning file to said first mobile station (see col. 7, lines 65-67), wherein receipt of said service provisioning causes said mobile station to execute said mobile station service provisioning program in said service provisioning file (see col. 8, lines 1-9). Chang does not teach the execution of said mobile station service provisioning program automatically provisioning said mobile station without further interaction from a service. Moles teaches the execution of said mobile station service provisioning program automatically provisioning said mobile station without further interaction from a service operator (see col. 3, lines 4-16, lines 58-60, and col. 13, lines 17-20). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teachings of Moles to said device of Chang in order to better prevent unauthorized users of mobile units from gaining illegal access to wireless servers.

Art Unit: 2682

Referring to Claim 6, Chang teaches a mobile station 13a-13e (fig. 1) capable of being provisioned from a wireless network by an over-the-air (OTA) service provisioning process (see ABSTRACT), said mobile station comprising:

an RF transceiver 12a-12n (fig. 1) capable of receiving and demodulating forward channel messages from said wireless network and further capable of modulating and transmitting reverse channel messages to said wireless network; and

a main controller 16 (fig. 1) capable of receiving said demodulated forward channel messages from said RF transceiver and extracting therefrom a service provisioning file containing a mobile station service provisioning program in interpreted byte-code format (see col. 4, lines 34-39 and Table 1), wherein said main controller, in response to receipt of said service provisioning file, is capable of interpreting and executing said mobile station service provisioning program (see col. 8, lines 1-9). Chang does not teach the execution of said mobile station service provisioning program automatically provisioning said mobile station without further interaction from a service. Moles teaches the execution of said mobile station service provisioning program automatically provisioning said mobile station without further interaction from a service operator (see col. 3, lines 4-16, lines 58-60, and col. 13, lines 17-20). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teachings of Moles to said device of Chang in order to better prevent unauthorized users of mobile units from gaining illegal access to wireless servers.

Art Unit: 2682

Referring to Claim 11, Chang teaches a wireless network comprising a plurality of base stations 12a-12n (fig. 1), each of said base stations capable of communicating with a plurality of mobile stations 13a-13e (fig. 1), a method of provisioning a first one of the plurality of mobile stations comprising the steps of:

storing in a database a service provisioning file comprising a mobile station service provisioning program in interpreted byte-code format (see col. 4, lines 34-39 and Table 1); and

determining whether the first mobile station is provisioned (see col. 2, lines 57-61);

in response to a determination that the mobile station is unprovisioned, retrieving the service provisioning file from said database (see col. 2, lines 61-67);

transmitting the service provisioning file to the first mobile station (see col. 7, lines 65-67), wherein receipt of the service provisioning file causes the mobile station to execute the mobile station service provisioning program in the service provisioning file (see col. 8, lines 1-9).

Chang does not teach the execution of said mobile station service provisioning program automatically provisioning said mobile station without further interaction from a service. Moles teaches the execution of said mobile station service provisioning program automatically provisioning said mobile station without further interaction from a service operator (see col. 3, lines 4-16, lines 58-60, and col. 13, lines 17-20). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teachings of Moles to said device of Chang in order to better

Art Unit: 2682

prevent unauthorized users of mobile units from gaining illegal access to wireless servers.

Referring to Claims 2, 7, and 12, Chang also teaches provisioning data used to configure the first mobile station to communicate with the wireless network (see col. 4, lines 53-67).

Referring to Claim 5, Chang also teaches a security apparatus capable of determining that said first mobile station is unprovisioned and, in response to said determination, generating and transmitting said notification to said provisioning controller (according to what is stated in col. 2, lines 54-64, a response from the mobile station is what determines the unprovisioned state in said mobile station).

4. Claims 10, 16, 17 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chang and Moles in view of Vucetic et al. (US 5,819,177).

Referring to Claim 16, Chang teaches a method of performing an over-the-air (OTA) service provisioning of a mobile station from a wireless network (see ABSTRACT) comprising the steps of:

receiving and demodulating forward channel messages from the wireless network (see 12a-12n in fig. 1);

extracting from the demodulated forward channel messages a service provisioning file containing a mobile station service provisioning program in interpreted byte-code format (see col. 4, lines 34-39 and Table 1); and

Art Unit: 2682

interpreting and executing said mobile station service provisioning program (see col. 8, lines 1-9).

Chang does not teach said mobile station service provisioning program comprising a graphical user interface (GUI) program capable of interacting with a user of the mobile station during the OTA service provisioning process. Vucetic teaches said mobile station service provisioning program comprising a graphical user interface (GUI) program capable of interacting with a user of the mobile station during the OTA service provisioning process (see col. 7, lines 59-64). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teachings of Vucetic to said method of Chang in order to better ensure proper provisioning of said mobile station.

The combination of Chang and Vucetic does not teach the execution of said mobile station service provisioning program automatically provisioning said mobile station without further interaction from a service.

Moles teaches the execution of said mobile station service provisioning program automatically provisioning said mobile station without further interaction from a service operator (see col. 3, lines 4-16, lines 58-60, and col. 13, lines 17-20). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teachings of Moles to said device of Chang in order to better prevent unauthorized users of mobile units from gaining illegal access to wireless servers.

Art Unit: 2682

Referring to Claim 17, Chang also teaches provisioning data used to configure the first mobile station to communicate with the wireless network (see col. 4, lines 53-67).

Referring to Claim 10, the combination of Chang and Moles does not teach said mobile station service provisioning program comprising a graphical user interface (GUI) program capable of interacting with a user of the mobile station during the OTA service provisioning process. Vucetic teaches said mobile station service provisioning program comprising a graphical user interface (GUI) program capable of interacting with a user of the mobile station during the OTA service provisioning process (see col. 7, lines 59-64). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teachings of Vucetic to said method of Chang in order to better ensure proper provisioning of said mobile station.

Referring to Claim 20, Vucetic also teaches deleting the service provisioning file from a memory in the mobile station at an end of the service provisioning process (see col. 7, lines 1-4).

5. Claims 3, 4, 8, 9, 13-15, 18, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chang, Moles, and Vucetic in view of Weber et al. (US 6,314,282).

Referring to Claim 3 and 8, the combination of Chang and Moles does not teach a stale code generated by said provisioning controller. Weber teaches a stale code generated by said provisioning controller, said stale code indicating a time duration since said service provisioning file was transmitted to said first mobile station (see col.

Art Unit: 2682

9, lines 37-40). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teachings of Weber to said network of Chang in order to reduce error in the mobile station provisioning process.

Referring to Claims 4 and 9, Weber also teaches said mobile station transmitting said stale code back to said provisioning controller and wherein said provisioning controller prevents said first mobile station from being provisioned if said time duration exceeds a predetermined maximum threshold (see col. 9, lines 40-43).

Referring to Claims 13 and 18, the combination of Chang, Moles and Vucetic does not teach generating a stale code and transmitting the stale code to the first mobile station, the stale code indicating a time at which the service provisioning file was transmitted to the first mobile station. Weber teaches generating a stale code and transmitting the stale code to the first mobile station, the stale code indicating a time at which the service provisioning file was transmitted to the first mobile station (see col. 9, lines 54-57). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teachings of Weber to said network of Chang in order to reduce error in the mobile station provisioning process.

Referring to Claims 14 and 19, Weber also teaches receiving from the mobile station a copy of the stale code transmitted back to the wireless network and determining a time duration since the service provisioning file was transmitted to the first mobile station (see col. 9, lines 37-40 and lines 50-53).

Referring to Claim 15, Weber also teaches determining if the time duration exceeds a predetermined maximum threshold and preventing the first mobile station

Art Unit: 2682

from being provisioned if the time duration exceeds the predetermined maximum threshold (see col. 9, lines 40-43).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eugene Yun whose telephone number is (703) 305-2689. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am-5:30pm Alt. Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivian Chin can be reached on (703) 308-6739. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9314 for regular communications and (703) 872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

Eugene Yun Examiner Art Unit 2682

EY May 27, 2003

VIVIAN CHIN

SUDE SUSSESSE PATENT EXAMINER

SUMMED ON CHINTER 2600

Page 10